**OPEN ACCESS** 

# Fostering student teachers' 21<sup>st</sup> century skills by using flipped learning by teaching in STEM education

Chak-Him Fung<sup>1\*</sup> <sup>(1)</sup>, Kin-Keung Poon<sup>1</sup> <sup>(1)</sup>, Siu-Ping Ng<sup>1</sup> <sup>(1)</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Education University of Hong Kong, Tai Po, HONG KONG SAR

Received 09 October 2022 - Accepted 08 December 2022

#### Abstract

In recent decades, STEM has received wide attention in education and educators have been seeking effective approaches for STEM education. Learning by teaching could be a potential solution as it could help students develop 21<sup>st</sup> century skills. However, the high time cost and lack of relevant knowledge create a great barrier to its users and make the approach unpopular. Thus, this study aims to investigate how flipped learning by teaching could foster STEM education through the improvement of students' understanding and their 21<sup>st</sup> century skills. By using seven-12 minutes pre-class video followed by 40-minute in-class sections, result suggested that flipped learning could contribute to students' understanding, learning interest, creativity, and soft skill sets in 21<sup>st</sup> century skills. In view of its merits, flipped learning by teaching could be considered as an effective approach for STEM education.

**Keywords:** flipped classroom, innovative teaching and learning approach, learning by teaching, STEM education, 21<sup>st</sup> century skills

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### What is STEM Education? Why Do We Need STEM?

STEM, a term initiated by the National Science Foundation in 2000s, refers to acronym of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) (Sanders, 2009). The education of this subject is aptly defined as "the approach to teaching the STEM content of two or more STEM domains, bound by STEM practices within an authentic context for the purpose of connecting these subjects to enhance student learning" (Kelley & Knowles, 2016, p. 3). Due to its significant contribution to the society, it was soon adopted by many educators and integrated into the curriculum in the education systems of different countries (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2008; Marshall, 2015; National Science Board, 2018). The intensification of global competition is one of the potential factors which result in the launch of STEM education program in the United States (Chesky & Wolfmeyer, 2015). Current research reveals that 75% of the positions are suited for employees who are equipped with STEM knowledge and skills (Becker & Park, 2011) while the employment growth rate of the STEM industry is nearly twice faster than others (Craig et al., 2012). Due to its effectiveness in boosting national

economic growth, STEM education program continues to spread out and develop in many countries (Australian Industry Group, 2013).

However, conducting a STEM lecture is not an easy task (Sujarwanto et al., 2021; Thomas & Watters, 2015). According to the National Academy of Engineering (NAE) and National Research Council (NRC) (NAE & NRC, 2014), the weak linkages between knowledge and real-world problems, weak linkages among subject disciplines and the lack of practice for students to establish such linkages are the major challenges in practice. Meanwhile, the shortage of teacher training and support is another obstacle. As revealed by a survey, almost half of the K-12 in-service teachers are not ready for STEM education (Geng et al., 2019). Teachers in the frontlines are ill-prepared for STEM teaching (Dong et al., 2019; EL-Deghaidy et al., 2017). Even though they are enthusiastic to teach, the absence of effective STEM teaching technique is a great obstacle hindering the effectiveness of their teaching (Rogers & Ford, 1997).

Although one of the main objectives in STEM education is to develop students' 21<sup>st</sup> century skills (Honey et al., 2014), frontline STEM teachers are not fully familiarized with appropriate pedagogies in achieving them (Hong Kong Federation of Education Workers,

© 2022 by the authors; licensee Modestum. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). S1114590@alumni.eduhk.hk (\*Correspondence) Kkpoon@eduhk.hk S0655050@s.eduhk.hk

#### **Contribution to the literature**

- Flipped Learning by Teaching could foster students' understanding and 21th century skills, especially creativity. Thus, it is an effective approach for STEM education.
- Flipped Learning by Teaching could enhance students' satisfaction during learning.
- Metacognitive development during the creation of teaching materials may be the key for success.

2017). Under such a context, a relatively new teaching and learning approach called flipping classroom is now gaining popularity (French et al., 2020; Han & Røkenes, 2020; Julia et al., 2020; Mzoughi, 2015; Walsh & Rísquez, 2020). It engenders a more meaningful teaching and learning environment by shifting the direct instruction process into the pre-class section while fulfilling the inclass sections with more meaningful activities (O'Flaherty & Phillips, 2015; Priyaadharshini & Sundaram, 2018; Ye et al., 2019). In addition to investigating how flipped classroom could facilitate STEM education, this paper attempts to explore whether flipped classroom together with learning by teaching contributes to STEM education among undergraduate student teachers.

# LITERATURE REVIEW

#### **Recent Challenges in STEM Education**

STEM, which was originally named as science, mathematics, engineering, and technology (SMET), was developed in the 2000s to equip students with creativity, problem-solving skills so that they could be more marketable in the workforce (Butz et al., 2004; Sanders, 2009). The contribution of this subject to students' development is well-recognized and therefore its education is now gaining acceptance in the field (Australian Industry Group, 2013).

However, the status quo of its implementation is far from satisfactory (Thomas & Watters, 2015). Current studies reveal that the lack of teaching and learning materials, laboratories, students' motivation and appropriate teaching and learning pedagogies are the main hindrance (Bosman & Schulze, 2018; Hong Kong Federation of Education Workers, 2017; Mutambara & Bayaga, 2021; NAE & NRC, 2014; Shernoff et al., 2017). Among them, the inefficiency of conventional face-toface lectures is most problematic in developing a deep STEM learning experience (Bosman & Schulze, 2018). Given the mounting pressure of examinations and administrative work, it is understandable that frontline teachers have to resort to conventional teaching approaches, which focus heavily on introducing exist knowledge and seeking correct answers to problems, rather than implementing STEM-related activities (Dong et al., 2020; Shernoff et al., 2017). Despite its directness in delivering knowledge, conventional classroom is usually less effective in empowering students with 21st century skills than other approaches (e.g., Alwi, 2020; Lamichhane & Karki, 2020).

Its widely used lecture mode of teaching cannot meet the demands STEM education, which aims at developing students' innovation, creativity, diversified thinking as well as their communication skills (Shu & Huang, 2021). To cope with this challenge, flipped classroom with learning by teaching (thereafter called flipped learning by teaching) is suggested.

#### What is Flipped Classroom?

With advance in information technology, educationists started to review and challenge the traditional lecture and homework sequence for better learning outcomes (Crouch & Mazur, 2001; King, 1993; Mazur, 1997). The word "flipped classroom" was thus coined in the late 1990s (e.g., Baker, 2000). Typically, it is a teaching and learning approach which reverses the traditional lecture-assignment sequence into an assignment-lecture sequence (Crouch & Mazur, 2001; Mazur, 1997). More in-class time could now be spent on meaningful activities and individual consultation by shifting the instructional content to the pre-class section while the in-class time could be freed for explaining difficult mathematical concepts, working on problems with guidance and discussion (Delozier & Rhodes, 2017). As a result, flipped classroom could greatly enhance students' understanding as well as their academic performance (Mzoughi, 2015; Pfennig, 2016; Sun & Wu, 2016; van Alten et al., 2019; Wagner et al., 2021). It is thus widely used in science (Asiksoy & Ozdamli, 2016; Deslauriers et al., 2011), technology (Amresh et al., 2013; Davies et al., 2013; McLaughlin et al., 2016; Shnai, 2017; Yildiz Durak, 2018), engineering (Kanelopoulos et al., 2017; Le et al., 2015; Warter-Perez & Dong, 2012), and mathematics education (Dove & Dove, 2017; Graziano & Hall, 2017; Lee, 2017; Lo & Hew, 2017b; Lo et al., 2017; Zengin, 2017).

In spite of its apparent effectiveness (van Alten et al., 2019), researchers are skeptical about the effect of flipped classroom if it is used without interactive in-class elements (Lo & Hew, 2017a). This is echoed by current empirical studies, for example in mathematics education, where students' academic performance could be enhanced if the flipped classroom is enriched by discussion, feedbacks and peer-collaborative work (via Bhagat et al., 2016; Buch & Warren, 2017; Hwang & Lai, 2017; Lo & Hew, 2017b; McGivney-Burelle & Xue, 2013; Sahin et al., 2015; Song & Kapur, 2017; Yousefzadeh & Salimi, 2015; Zengin, 2017). It is suggested that the values of flipped classroom do not lie in simple re-ordering of the lecture and homework section, but in the use of in-

class interactive elements, which may be an essential factor in determining the success of flipped classroom (Fung, 2020). Thus, flipped classroom is defined as a teaching and learning approach which employ an assignment-lecture sequence for interactive in-class elements, such as discussion, feedbacks and peercollaborative work etc.

#### What is Learning by Teaching?

Learning by teaching (German: Lernen durch Lehren, LdL) is not a new strategy. Basically, it is a special type of peer education in which students are responsible for conducting the teaching, preparing as well as controlling the learning progress (Aslan, 2015; Legenhausen, 2005). As described by Aslan (2015), the routine of learning by teaching begins with a student (or a group of students) teaching a topic either suggested by the teacher or chosen on his/her own. Normally, students are asked to prepare their own teaching materials in the meantime. During the teaching process, the role of teacher becomes passive as he/she remains in the background observing and monitoring. The teacher will only interfere if a problem or misunderstanding arises (Aslan, 2015).

The unique nature of learning by teaching means that it could benefit students more than traditional teaching with sound theoretical foundation (Stollhans, 2016; Zhou et al., 2019). When required to teach a topic in front of his/her peers, students have to select and screen the relevant knowledge, focus, organize and present it in a meaningful way (Torshizi & Bahraman, 2019; Zhou et al., 2019). As a result, the limited processing capacity (as suggested by cognitive load theory) is used effectively and deep learning could be facilitated (Stollhans, 2016; Zhou et al., 2019). More importantly, students have to externalize the content and knowledge using his/her own language during the teaching process. According to Vygotsky's (1978a) theory, the connection between speech and thoughts is explicit and profound. Speech itself is an externalization process of the thoughts, even to the extent that "a word without meaning is just an empty sound" (Vygotsky, 1978a, p. 244). It could help analyzing the problems, generalizing ideas and developing possible solutions (Vygotsky, 1978b). With students' fundamental understanding ensured after giving a speech, their memory about STEM contents could be enhanced. For example, Pizzolato and Persano Adorno (2020) examined the benefits of learning by teaching on physics undergraduates and found that students' memory (such as the definition of isotope, radiation, particle electromagnetic and the understanding of the radioactivity process at microscopic level and the linkage to daily life problems) was improved significantly.

In the meantime, the effect of learning by teaching is not limited to the acquisition of the knowledge in a particular subject. It could be an effective means to foster students' essential abilities and skills, such as 21<sup>st</sup> century skills (Aslan, 2015). By allowing students to engage in teaching (generally aided with some IT tools such as PowerPoint), their presentation skills, communication skills, self-confidence and computer literacy were improved (Grzega & Klüsener, 2011; Pahl, 2019). In the long run, accomplishing the high-level learning objectives of Bloom's taxonomy, such as synthesizing, evaluating, and creating is made possible since the foundation of knowledge is consolidated (Fiorella & Mayer, 2013).

However, the implementation of learning by teaching is very challenging (Hutagaol-Martowidjoyo & Adiningrum, 2019; Zhou et al., 2019). For instance, class preparation is time-consuming, and it requires a lot of efforts in material preparation (Hutagaol-Martowidjoyo & Adiningrum, 2019). Meanwhile, teaching is obviously a very complex task to students because both subject knowledge and proper teaching pedagogies are required for effective teaching (Shulman, 1986, 1987; Zhou et al., 2019). Given the limited time for preparation, it is challenging for students to become fully familiar with the necessary knowledge and thus misconception and misunderstanding may appear (Aslan, 2015). Without an effective solution, the above challenges would become a great barrier to users and defeat the advantages of the use of learning by teaching.

# How Do Flipped Classroom and Learning by Teaching Help STEM Education?

In light of previous sections, flipped classroom could play a significant role in fostering the effectiveness in STEM education when it is used with learning by teaching. Yet, one of the biggest challenges in implementing learning by teaching is students' lack of pre-requisite subject content knowledge for classroom teaching (Shulman, 1986, 1987; Zhou et al., 2019). As a result, extra time must be spent in equipping students with fundamental knowledge before teaching, which potentially prevents teachers from choosing this teaching method despite its effectiveness. То compensate for the problem, flipped classroom familiarizes students with the necessary knowledge by using the pre-class video without causing additional burden (Fung, 2020). On the other hand, the number of students who would profit from traditional learning by teaching is limited as the interaction between students and teachers is not always available (via Aslan, 2015). But when this approach is integrated into a flipped classroom where the in-class time is reserved for meaningful activities (Fung et al., 2020), the lesson flow can be facilitated and learning by teaching is made more feasible. This combination of flipped classroom and learning by teaching could potentially foster student's understanding towards subject knowledge as well as their 21st century skills (such as presentation skills, communication skills, self-confidence, and computer literacy).

In the meantime, the cross-disciplinary nature is considered to be one of the most defining characteristics of STEM which adds to the importance of STEM education (Sanders, 2009). Yet such feature creates a great challenge in revealing the contribution of STEM education as it extends beyond the development of knowledge in a particular subject. In this regard, students' learning outcomes cannot be simply assessed by the traditional subject knowledge based examination (Fung & Poon, 2020; Honey et al., 2014; Shu & Huang, 2021). Ng and Fung (2020) systematically reviewed about 11,000 websites of secondary and primary schools and found that the cross disciplinary nature did occupy a very little portion in their expected outcomes. This runs contrary to the popular belief among educators that an effective teaching and learning approach for STEM Education should be one that helps students develop both subject knowledge and their 21st century skills.

As the frontline is urging to have an effective teaching and learning technique for effective STEM education (Rogers & Ford, 1997), the results of this study could serve as a foundation, which provides insights into flipped classroom, learning by teaching and effective STEM teaching and learning approaches. Eventually, it could even initiate innovative and effective teaching and learning approaches for STEM education. Therefore, the following research questions are developed to investigate how flipped learning by teaching contributes to STEM education and to discover the mechanism beneath it.

# **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

- 1. How could flipped learning by teaching foster STEM education though the improvement of students' understanding and memory?
- How could flipped learning by teaching foster STEM education by developing students' 21<sup>st</sup> century skills?
  - A. How could it enhance students' problemsolving skills?
  - B. How could it enhance students' creativity?
  - C. How could it enhance students' communication skills?
  - D. How could it enhance students' computer literacy?
- 3. What other benefits, in addition to the development of 21<sup>st</sup> century skills, could be attained by using flipped learning by teaching?

### **RESEARCH METHOD**

#### **Demographic Information**

Considering the efficiency of convenient sampling and its power in eliminating some practical constraints, such as geographical location, this sampling method was adopted in this study (McMillan & Schumacher, 2010). Five undergraduates who were studying in universities in Australia were selected. The subjects, consisting of three males and two females, were all aged between 19 and 20. Three of them majored in data science, while the others were commerce and software engineering students. The participants in this study received their pre-tertiary (primary and secondary) education in China (Confucian heritage culture, CHC) for more than 17 years and chose to study abroad in in Australia, a country with significantly different educational culture. Therefore, unlike other local students in China, they were considered as "relatively familiar with both Eastern and western educational culture" and "relatively open to innovative teaching and learning approach".

#### Preparation, Pre-Class, and In-Class Section

The pre-class section followed the design of the flipped learning by teaching model suggested in the previous section. Since visualization could turn complex ideas into concrete items (Fung & Poon, 2020), a flipped classroom was used instead of other materials such as readings. Since the participants reported that they had very limited experience about learning by teaching and flipped classroom, a 15-minute meeting was arranged in order to introduce and explain the research flow, the fundamental concepts of STEM as well as the practical procedures of flipped learning by teaching model.

In terms of length, all videos used in this study were seven to twelve minutes long, which was based on previous findings that neither an excessively short nor an excessively long video was appropriate for use in flipped classroom (Dove & Dove, 2017; Lo & Hew, 2017b). The videos were distributed to the students three<sup>1</sup> days before the class to leave them with sufficient time for the class preparation. Each student was asked to prepare a PowerPoint for an eight minute lecture on viscous drag forces, hydrostatic pressure, kinetic energy, drift velocity and resistivity (see **Table 1**), all of which required substantial mathematics, physics as well as engineering knowledge. The PowerPoint should contain the content knowledge as well as a sample question with a corresponding solution.

The whole 40-minute in-class section was conducted using zoom due to the restrictions of the social contact under the spread of COVID-19. It was divided into five mini-lecture sessions. Students were required to teach in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Videos were originally scheduled to be distributed two days in advance. However, they were distributed one day earlier per students' request.

Table 1. Top	ics used & their corresponding description of content	
Topics	Description	Video link
Viscous drag	-Understand viscous/drag forces including air resistance	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HVdCyCf7eG8
forces	-Understand that objects moving against a resistive force	
	may reach a terminal (constant) velocity	
Hydrostatic	-Derive, from definitions of pressure & density, equation	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kkq8ruV8_Jw
pressure	for hydrostatic pressure $\Delta p = \rho g \Delta h$ & application of	
	equation Δp=ρg∆h	
Kinetic	-Derive, using equations of motion, formula for kinetic	https://youtu.be/kA5-6eqwS2g
energy	energy EK=1/2mv <sup>2</sup>	
Drift velocity	-Use, for a current-carrying conductor, expression	https://youtu.be/-KM5bq0O48o
	I=Anvq, where n is number density of charge carriers	
Resistivity	-Resistance & resistivity	https://www.khanacademy.org/science/physics/cir
	-Recall & use R=ρL/A	cuits-topic/circuits-resistance/v/voltmeters-and-
		ammeters?utm_source=YT\u0026utm_medium=Desc
		\u0026utm_campaign=physics

Table 1 Tables and 0 their semicorreliand description of south

Table 2. Scenarios the researcher would interrupt in this study

Section	Scenarios
Pre-class section	(1) When a student raises a question about the subject content knowledge, (2) When a student encounters a technical problem in watching the video, (3) When a student asks for additional information for the content, & (4) When a student asks about the pedagogical knowledge
In-class section	(1) When a student-teacher asks him a question, (2) When a student audience asks a difficult question which the student-teacher does not know how to respond, (3) When a student-teacher overruns, (4) When a student misbehaves, such as being noisy, & (5) When a student encounters a technical problem
Focus group	(1) When a student is in absolute silence for a very long time, (2) When a student goes off the topic, (3) When a student asks him a relevant question to the study (i.e., clarifying key words in the questions). However, the researcher would neither answer what should be discussed nor explain some critical keywords if he thinks that may be misleading or will limit the scopes of the data mining <sup>2</sup> , (4) When a student misbehaves, such as being noisy, & (5) When a student encounters a technical problem,

turn and their order was decided and announced by the researcher at the beginning of the lecture. To eliminate the uncertainty due to language problem, students were free to use their mother language to express their idea during their teaching. Class was dismissed after the completion of mini lectures and no homework was assigned, which ensured that the total length of learning in this study is comparable to traditional 40-minute lectures with seven to twelve minutes homework.

#### **Assessment Tool and Data Collection**

To increase the validity of this research with triangulation of data, observation and focus group were selected as the assessment tools for this study. The observation spanned from the pre-class section to the inclass section. Although some important information was not accessible as face-to-face contact was restricted due to the COVID-19 epidemic, quality interactions were still maintained with communication tools such as WeChat, Zoom, and mobile phones, which allowed researchers to provide immediate advice and feedback to students anywhere and anytime. Participants had been informed before the beginning of the study that both the in-class section and the focus group section would be videotaped. The events and content of the question were noted and recorded (via Appendix A), while the interviews, which was conducted primarily in students' mother language (Chinese) in order to ensure the quality of results, were translated into English scripts afterwards.

A 25-minute focus group meeting was scheduled and conducted in the next day after the completion of the inclass section. Since the participants had no prior experience in a focus group meeting, a two-minute introduction was given, and the common practice was described.

Objectives and the research questions were introduced (via Appendix B) so that the discussion would be on the right track. Participants were notified that they could discuss and express their views freely as long as these views are important or relevant to this study. For details of the research flow please refer to Appendix C.

The role of researcher remained passive during the whole intervention. To ensure a fair result, his main duty was to set the topics, to determine the research flow and to monitor the research progress. He interrupted only if one of the following scenarios arose (via Table 2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For example, in this study the word *creativity* was not explained when asked. It is because students may focus on what the researcher described and try to provide the expected answers, instead of exploring, distinguishing or classifying it from their real experience.

Category	Item	R (F)a	O (F) <sup>b</sup>	Main content/idea
Flipped	Flipped learning	1.0 (4)	A (4)	(1) It allowed students to gain exposure to knowledge for four times (during video
learning	by teaching fosters			watching, material preparation, teaching, & Q&A section), (2) More in-depth
by	understanding			learning achieved & better memory of content knowledge, (3) By developing
teaching				teaching materials such as organizing points & preparing PPT, blind-spots could be
approach	Eline ad las min a	10(1)	A (1)	cleared, & (4) Understanding was enhanced.
to STEM	by teaching festors	1.0 (1)	A (1)	(1) Answering unexpected questions from others allowed students to review &
education	problem-solving			problems
cuucution	skills			problems.
	Flipped learning	1.0 (3)	A (3)	(1) Solving other's problems required uses of communication skills, (2)
	by teaching fosters	. ,		Communication skills were enhanced because whole teaching was basically
	communication skills			(similar to) a discussion, & (3) Explaining abstract ideas required communications skills.
	Flipped learning	.67 (6)	A (4)	(1) Organizing lesson was main reason for improvement of creativity, (2)
	by teaching fosters			Regarding STEM, understanding is very important. It is impossible to apply
	creativity			knowledge of these subjects without understanding them in advance, (3) If
				students encountered a difficulty, student-teacher should try a new approach.
				These skills could not be learnt from a traditional classroom, (4) A good knowledge foundation would allow us to draw informers about other cases from one instance.
				In meantime, it allowed us to discover, elaborate, link, & apply them in our daily
				life. These are all practices of creativity, (5) Through process of developing
				materials, connections between fact-based knowledge & daily life could be
				observed. It inspired students to explore & try to develop some solutions (about
				learning content) in daily life.
			D (2)	(1) The improvement of creativity is limited & (2) A simple use of model would not enhance creativity.
	Flipped learning	1.0 (2)	A (2)	(1) If a student had to teach others, he must list pre-requisite knowledge, define
	by teaching fosters			items, construct equations, & demonstrate how to use those equations &
	logical thinking			knowledge to solve problems. This was a good training in logical thinking & (2)
				(iii) understand logics behind content
	Flipped learning	10(3)	A (3)	(1) Helping others understand abstract knowledge gave us a strong sense of
	by teaching fosters	1.0 (0)	11(0)	satisfaction, (2) Active learning offered much higher level of satisfaction than
	learning interest			spoon-feeding education, & (3) Since we could choose a topic of our own interests,
				we were highly motivated & fascinated by the idea.
	Flipped learning	1.0 (1)	A (1)	(1) During preparation process, software, such as PPT, was used. It allowed us to
	by teaching fosters			practice our computer skills.

Table 3. Mechanism of flipped learning by teaching enhances STEM education

Note. R: Reliability; O: Opinion; F: Frequency; A: Agree; D: Disagree; a Total number of agreements & disagreements; & bNumber of students who expressed an agreement/disagreement

#### Data Handling and Data Analysis

Data from the observation and focus group meeting was translated into English scripts in Appendix A and Appendix D, respectively. To ensure a fair result, coding of observation and focus group meeting were performed by two third-party tutors who were doing their master's degrees. If keywords of relevant items of understanding and 21st century skills (such as problem-solving skills) is identified, the relevant paragraph will be put into further analysis. All scripts and coded data were then sent to the participants to confirm if the translation truly reflected their views and modifications were made per request. The participants were then coded as student A, student B, etc. to erase the trace of their identity. Since there were disagreements in some ideas, the reliability of the qualitative results in this study was calculated, as follows (Miles & Huberman, 1994):

Reliability=Agreements/(Agreements + Disagreement).

In addition, if a student expressed that he agreed with a point with certain conditions, his/her opinions will be counted in both agreement and disagreement. However, when statements were repeated, rephrased or further elaborated previous statements, they counted once only. For instance, the student's suggestion that "discussion may be added at the end of the teaching to provide monitoring effect" and his/her statement suggesting that "learning diversity could be solved by discussion" were regarded as identical and both categorized as "discussion should be added" because the second statement is an elaboration of the first one. The result was presented as **Table 3** and **Appendix E**.

#### **Ethic Concerns**

A high degree of awareness has been put into ethic concerns. Biased responses might be yielded due to the teacher-student relationships between the researcher and participants. Meanwhile, students from CHC may show more respect to their teachers and avoid making disagreements. Therefore, the researcher emphasized the importance of expressing their real feelings and participants were also given complete freedom to quit at any stage of the experiment whenever they felt uncomfortable to continue with this study.

# RESULTS

#### Flipped Learning by Teaching Fosters Students' Understanding and Memory by Achieving Higher Degree of Engagement

According to **Table 3**, one of the most obvious benefits of using flipped learning by teaching is that it could enhance students' understanding and memory of the learning content. Traditionally, teachers are responsible for determining and developing the teaching materials. Students are rarely involved in the process until the materials are distributed in-class. However, a higher degree of engagement is achievable in flipped teaching and learning. From watching video, course material preparation, in-class teaching to the in-class Q&A section, students are exposed to the learning content four times.

In the meantime, one of the commonly found problems in traditional teaching is that students believe they have fully mastered the learning contents, but in reality they have not. This undesirable situation could be minimized with the use of flipped learning by teaching. As revealed by student B, "if (student) could develop the teaching materials, such as organizing the points and creating the PPT, the blind-spot could be cleared."

#### Flipped Learning by Teaching Fosters Students' Logical Thinking and Problem-Solving Skills

As indicated by the participants, the class preparation and the Q&A section contribute to students' logical thinking and problem-solving skills. In accordance with the description from student C on the process of flipped learning by teaching, "student teachers have to

- (1) extract the key information,
- (2) translate them into their own words, and
- (3) help (audiences) understand the logics behind the knowledge."

In other words, if a student needs to play a teaching role, he/she must list pre-requisite knowledge, define the items, construct the equations and demonstrate how to use those equations and knowledge to solve the problems. In the meantime, questions and challenges may arise during the Q&A section. To answer these unexpected questions, student teachers must review the content, plan and develop strategies to cope with the challenges, which offers them the opportunity to cultivate their logical thinking and problem-solving.

#### Flipped Learning by Teaching Fosters Students' Creativity

Although participants were generally in favor of the claim (3/5 supportive, 1/5 conditional, and 1/5 against), opinions were divided when it came to whether creativity could be enhanced by flipped learning by teaching. Advocates of this claim maintain that a more thorough understanding is root cause for the improvement. Since STEM is a complex acronym consisting of four different disciplines, it is very unlikely apply the STEM knowledge without fully to understanding them in advance. The solid knowledge foundation developed by flipped learning by teaching allows students to draw inferences about other cases from one instance and to discover, elaborate, link and apply theoretical knowledge in their daily life. As described by student D, "I see that air resistance is connected with many different aspects in our daily life and its impact is significant. I will start to study and try to develop some solutions to reduce air resistance in different circumstances." In other words, a better understanding serves as a foundation on which students can connect and extend their knowledge to other aspects, thereby boosting creativity. Furthermore, organizing lessons and the use of alternative method also contribute to creativity. As illustrated by student A:

As students, we will focus only how to solve the problems; however, we will try to illustrate the same idea with different methods if our role is shifted from students to teachers. For example, during the teaching session conducted by student B, he demonstrated two different methods to solve a single question. This is how students differ from teachers. Such a difference would lead to a significant improvement in creativity.

In other words, flipped learning by teaching shifted the role of students to teachers and raised their awareness of the learning process. Students' creativity could be substantially promoted either by preparing alternative solutions or by illustrating the same idea with different methods.

Judging from previous results, flipped learning by teaching may provide seven benefits, which are reorganized according to the active components of flipped teaching by learning (**Table 4**). It can thus foster STEM education by promoting students' 21<sup>st</sup> century skills in some aspects. They are summarized in **Figure 1**.

#### Flipped Learning by Teaching Fosters Students' Communication Skills and Computer Literacy

In addition, participants find that flipped learning by teaching is particularly useful in enhancing their communication skills. To deliver a course, the studentteacher has to first understand the content (i.e., abstract ideas) well and transform it into his/her own words.

Section of flipped	Active	Actions	Students'
learning by teaching	component	Actions	improvements in
Pre-class section	Watching video	-1st engagement of the materials	-Understanding
	Class	-2 <sup>nd</sup> engagement of the materials	-Understanding
	preparation	-Clearing blind-spot	-Understanding
		-Organizing of the lesson (such as demonstration of two	-Creativity
		different methods to solve a single question).	-Logical thinking
			-Computer literacy
In-class teaching	Teaching	-3 <sup>rd</sup> engagement of the materials	-Understanding
		-Teaching by illustrating ideas	-Communication skills
	Q&A	-4 <sup>th</sup> engagement of the materials	-Understanding
		-Developing strategies to respond to unexpected questions	-Problem-solving skills
			-Communication skills
		-The use of alternative methods	-Creativity

Table 4. Mechanism of flipped teaching by learning benefits students



**Figure 1.** Seven benefits of using flipped learning by teaching (Source: Authors' own elaboration)

Communication skills are required for such process and the in-class teaching section provides them with an opportunity to practice, especially when the studentteacher is going to answer unexpected questions in Q&A. This is best seen in how a student cleverly used an effective communication strategy to avoid embarrassment: when asked about the meaning of "q" in the equation during the teaching section, student C immediately replied that they could identify its meaning by completing the homework planned for them. As student A said:

If a student-teacher does not know the answer, it will be very embarrassing. Student-teachers with high EQ may, I mean those with good communication skills, will act similarly as student C did, saying "that's a good question and especially good as being the homework for today." However, student-teachers with low EQ and inadequate communication skills may just be stunned and freeze at that moment (student A).

In the meantime, since computer software (such as PPT) was used as the teaching instrument, the process of teaching is indeed a practice of using computer tools for effective communication. As a result, students' communication skills and computer literacy could be improved due to the enriched teaching section in flipped teaching by learning.

#### Flipped Learning by Teaching Fosters Students' Learning Interest

By watching video, developing the teaching materials for teaching and teaching in-class, flipped teaching by learning facilitates students' active learning. They can derive a greater sense of satisfaction from this approach than from teaching methods which rely heavily on mechanical memorization. This is supported by the observation that students requested an earlier distribution of the video which was scheduled to be accessible to them only on the experiment date, implying an increased learning motivation among students.

# DISCUSSION

# Metacognition Development in the Creation of the Teaching Material May Be the Key to Success

Aligning with a systematic review conducted by Lachner et al. (2022), this study suggested that the preparation of lessons may be one of the main factors for the improvement of understanding and higher-order thinking skills in learning by teaching. A recent metaanalysis conducted by Ribosa and Duran (2022) further confirmed this idea. A significant improvement was found ( $\rho$ =.013) among students who created teaching materials compared to those with business-as-usual interventions. As revealed by this study, the development of the material could help students clear their blind spots, facilitate their organization of content materials, and widen their possible methods to reach solutions. As a consequence, planning (one of the main components of metacognition) is enhanced, and thus better performance could be expected (Fung, 2019).

#### May Metacognition Be Related to Creativity?

Interestingly, findings in this study offer evidence that creativity could be enhanced under the setting of flipped learning. Both student A and B suggested that students became more innovative when they planned to demonstrate two different methods to solve a single question in class preparation. Since planning is an important component of metacognition (Fung, 2017, 2020), this aligns with the current suggestions that metacognition and creativity should be explicitly involved in higher education (Armbruster, 1989; de Acedo Lizarraga & de Acedo Baquedano, 2015). Although the evidence in this study is not sufficient enough to support the claim, it could still be a possible direction for further research of STEM education.

#### **Good Medicine for Health Tastes Bitter**

Echoing studies conducted in the past (e.g., Aslan, 2015; 2019; Hutagaol-Martowidjoyo Fung, & Adiningrum, 2019), results in this study also reveal that teaching by learning is a very time-consuming strategy from students' perspective. Teachers may also encounter confusion and misunderstanding during the teaching section. However, participants did provide interesting comments as they believed the time spent is the necessary cost for their gain in learning outcomes. In traditional teaching models, students have to finish their homework, check the answers and do revisions after class. On the contrary, these steps are already embedded in learning by teaching. For instance, the in-class teaching, and the Q&A section are useful for clearing students' misconception and misunderstanding and thus they are equivalent to checking answers and doing revisions after-class. Although it seems to be more timeconsuming, the time spent may indeed be a necessity for the process of learning. In light of these facts, whether learning by teaching is more time-consuming remains inconclusive.

#### Limitations

Although all efforts were made to ensure a fair result, extra care is advised to be taken when interpreting the results of this study. While triangulation was employed and the participants in this study are considered to be familiar with both CHC and western culture, the sample size is relatively small, which could undermine the generalization power. Extra caution needs to be exercised especially when the results of this study are to be used to explain scenarios under the context of primary or secondary schools. Meanwhile, the results of the focus group meeting depend highly on the participants' awareness of the content and relevant skills. Further research, especially those with different measuring instruments, are essential for providing additional evidence in this topic.

#### **CONCLUSION & IMPACTS TO SOCIETY**

Throughout past decades, there has been a significant growth in the emphasis on STEM related subjects. Educators have been experimenting with pedagogies that assist in the problem-solving and exploratory learning among students. Learning by teaching seems to be a promising candidate that fuels students' success across a variety of tasks and disciplines. However, the high time cost and lack of relevant knowledge create a great barrier to education practitioners and render this approach relatively unpopular. Aided by flipped classroom, the situation could be improved, and students become much better critical thinkers, innovators, and analyzers.

By using seven-12 minute pre-class video followed by 40-minute in-class sections, result revealed that flipped learning by teaching could foster STEM education through the improvement of students' understanding and memory. Meanwhile, flipped learning by teaching is an effective STEM teaching and learning approach as it could develop students' problem skills, creativity, communication skills, computer literacy as well as students' learning interest. Although teaching by learning seems to be time-consuming, the time spent may indeed be a necessity for the process of learning.

**Author contributions:** All authors have sufficiently contributed to the study and agreed with the results and conclusions.

Funding: No funding source is reported for this study.

**Ethical statement:** Authors stated that this study was approved by the ethics review board of the Hong Kong International Flipped Classroom Association on April 4, 2021. Informed consents were obtained from the participants while codings (such as A, B, C, and D) were used. Personal information is kept confidential and will be destroyed one year after completion.

**Declaration of interest:** No conflict of interest is declared by authors.

**Data sharing statement:** Data supporting the findings and conclusions are available upon request from the corresponding author.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Alwi, A. (2020). Problem-based learning (PBL) as an assessment tool in science education: A systematic review with exemplars. *Learning Science And Mathematics*, *15*(8), 102-118.
- Amresh, A., Carberry, A. R., & Femiani, J. (2013). Evaluating the effectiveness of flipped classrooms for teaching CS1. In *Proceedings of Frontiers in Education Conference* (pp. 733-735). https://doi.org /10.1109/FIE.2013.6684923
- Armbruster, B. B. (1989). Metacognition in creativity. In J. A. Glover, R. R. Ronning, & C. R. Reynolds (Eds.), Handbook of creativity. Perspectives on individual

*differences* (pp. 177-182). Springer. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-1-4757-5356-1\_10

- Asiksoy, G., & Ozdamli, F. (2016). Flipped classroom adapted to the ARCS model of motivation and applied to a physics course. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education,* 12(6), 1589-1603. https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2016. 1251a
- Aslan, S. (2015). Is learning by teaching effective in gaining 21<sup>st</sup> century skills? The views of pre-service science teachers. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 15(6), 1441-1457.
- Australian Industry Group. (2013). Lifting our science, technology, engineering, and maths (STEM) skills. https://catalogue.nla.gov.au/Record/6263506
- Baker, J. W. (2000). The classroom flip: Using web course management tools to become the guide by the side. In *Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference on College Teaching and Learning* (pp. 9-17).
- Becker, K., & Park, K. (2011). Effects of integrative approaches among science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) subjects on students' learning: A preliminary meta-analysis. *Journal of STEM Education*, 12(5/6), 23-37.
- Bhagat, K. K., Chang, C. N., & Chang, C. Y. (2016). The impact of the flipped classroom on mathematics concept learning in high school. *Educational Technology and Society*, 19(3), 134-142.
- Bosman, A., & Schulze, S. (2018). Learning style preferences and mathematics achievement of secondary school learners. *South African Journal of Education, 38*(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.15700/ saje.v38n1a1440
- Buch, G. R., & Warren, C. B. (2017). The flipped classroom: Implementing technology to aid in college mathematics student's success. *Contemporary Issues in Education Research*, 10(2), 109-116. https://doi.org/10.19030/cier.v10i2.9921
- Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2008). *Employment projections:* 2008-2018 summary. www.bls.gov/news.release/ ecopro.nr0.htm
- Butz, W. P., Kelly, T. K., Adamson, D. M., Bloom, G. A., Fossum, D., & Gross, M. E. (2004). Will the scientific and technology workforce meet the requirements of the federal government? RAND. https://doi.org/10. 7249/MG118
- Chesky, N. Z., & Wolfmeyer, M. R. (2015). *Philosophy of* STEM education: A critical investigation. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137535467
- Craig, E., Thomas, R., Hou, C., & Mathur, S. (2012). No shortage of talent: How the global market is producing the STEM skills needed for growth. *Accenture Institute for High Performance.*

http://www.accenture.com/sitecollectiondocume nts/accenture-no-shortage-of-talent.pdf

- Crouch, C. H., & Mazur, E. (2001). Peer instruction: ten years of experience and results. *American Journal of Physics, 69*(9), 970-977. https://doi.org/10.1119/ 1.1374249
- Davies, R. S., Dean, D. L., & Ball, N. (2013). Flipping the classroom and instructional technology integration in a college-level information systems spreadsheet course. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *61*(4), 563-580. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11423-013-9305-6
- de Acedo Lizarraga, M. L. S., & de Acedo Baquedano, M. T. S. (2015). How creative potential is related to metacognition. *European Journal of Education and Psychology*, 6(2).
- Delozier, S. J., & Rhodes, M. G. (2017). Flipped classrooms: A review of key ideas and recommendations for practice. *Educational Psychology Review*, 29(1), 141-151. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10648-015-9356-9
- Deslauriers, L., Schelew, E., & Wieman, C. (2011). Improved learning in a large-enrollment physics class. *Science*, 332(6031), 862-864. http://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1201783
- Dong, Y., Wang, J., Yang, Y., & Kurup, P. M. (2020). Understanding intrinsic challenges to STEM instructional practices for Chinese teachers based on their beliefs and knowledge base. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 7, 47. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s40594-020-00245-0
- Dong, Y., Xu, C., Song, X., Fu, Q., Chai, C. S., & Huang, Y. (2019). Exploring the effects of contextual factors on in-service teachers' engagement in STEM teaching. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 28, 25-34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-018-0407-0
- Dove, A., & Dove, E. (2017). Flipping preservice elementary teachers' mathematics anxieties. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 17(3), 312-335.
- EL-Deghaidy, H., Mansour, N., Alzaghibi, M., & Alhammad, K. (2017). Context of STEM integration in schools: Views from in-service science teachers. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education,* 13(6), 2459-2484. https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.01235a
- Fiorella, L., & Mayer, R. E. (2013). The relative benefits of learning by teaching and teaching expectancy. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 38(4), 281-288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2013.06.001
- French, H., Arias-Shah, A. M., Gisondo, C., & Gray, M. M. (2020). Perspectives: The flipped classroom in graduate medical education. *Neoreviews*, 21(3), E150-E156. https://doi.org/10.1542/neo.21-3-e150

- Fung, C. H. (2019). Stop using learning-by-teaching. A simple revision could provide similar efficiency: A case study on metacognitive benefits. *Education Sciences and Psychology*, *1*(51), 3-11.
- Fung, C. H. (2020). How does flipping classroom foster the STEM education: A case study of the FPD model. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 25(3), 479-507. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-020-09443-9
- Fung, C. H., & Leung, C. K. (2017). Pilot study on the validity and reliability of MIM: An alternative assessment for measuring metacognition in mathematics among college student, *American International Journal of Contemporary Research*, 7(4), 11-22.
- Fung, C. H., & Poon, K. K. (2020). Can dynamic activities boost mathematics understanding and metacognition? A case study on the limit of rational functions. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*. 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2020.1749905
- Fung, C. H., Besser, M., & Poon, K. K. (2021). Systematic literature review of flipping classroom in mathematics. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science* and Technology Education, 17(6), em1974. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/10900
- Geng, J., Jong, S. Y., & Chai, C. S. (2019). Hong Kong teachers' self-efficacy and concerns about STEM education. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 28(1), 35-45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-018-0414-1
- Graziano, K. J., & Hall, J. D. (2017). Flipping math in a secondary classroom. In Proceedings of the Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference (pp. 192-200). Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education.
- Grzega, J., & Klüsener, B. (2011). Learning by teaching through polylogues: Training communication as an expert in information and knowledge societies with LdL (Lernen durch Lehren). *Fachsprache: International Journal of Specialized Communication*, 33, 17-35. https://doi.org/10.24989/fs.v33i1-2.1379
- Han, H., & Røkenes, F. M. (2020). Flipped classroom in teacher education: A scoping review. *Frontiers in Education, 5*, 1-20. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc. 2020.601593
- Honey, M., Pearson, G., & Schweingruber, H. A. (Eds.). (2014). STEM integration in K-12 education: Status, prospects, and an agenda for research. National Academies Press.
- Hong Kong Federation of Education Workers. (2017). Research report of support policy to the frontline STEM teacher. https://hkfew.org.hk/UPFILE/ArticleFile /201811313151733.pdf.

- Hutagaol-Martowidjoyo, Y., & Adiningrum, T. S. (2019).
  Students teaching students: Do they really learn by teaching others? In L. Kairisto-mertanen, & T. A.
  Budiono (Eds.), *INDOPED-Modernizing Indonesian* higher education with tested European pedagogical practices: Report on piloted pedagogical practices (pp. 72-83). Turku University of Applied Sciences.
- Hwang, G.-J., & Lai, C.-L. (2017). Facilitating and bridging out-of-class and in-class learning: An interactive e-book-based flipped learning approach for math courses. *Educational Technology and Society*, 20(1), 184-197.
- Julia, J., Afrianti, N., Soomro, K.A., Supriyadi, T., Dolifah, D., Isrokatun, I., Erhamwilda, E., & Ningrum, D. (2020). Flipped classroom educational model (2010-2019): A bibliometric study. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(4), 1377-1392. https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.9.4.1377
- Kanelopoulos, J., Papanikolaou, K. A., & Zalimidis, P. (2017). Flipping the classroom to increase students' engagement and interaction in a mechanical engineering course on machine design. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, 7(4), 19-34. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v7i4.7427
- Kelley, T. R., & Knowles, J. G. (2016). A conceptual framework for integrated STEM education. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 3(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-016-0046-z
- King, A. (1993). From sage on the stage to guide on the side. *College Teaching* 41(1), 30-35. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/87567555.1993.9926781
- Lachner, A., Hoogerheide, V., van Gog, T., & Renkl, A. (2022). Learning-by-teaching without audience presence or interaction: When and why does it work? *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(1), 575-607. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09643-4
- Lamichhane, R., & Karki, D. (2020). Assessment of efficacy of lab-based learning in enhancing critical thinking and creative thinking among learners. *Westcliff International Journal of Applied Research*, 14(1), 15-28. https://doi.org/10.47670/wuwijar 202041DKRL
- Le, X., Ma, G. G., & Duva, A. W. (2015). Testing the flipped classroom approach in engineering dynamics class. In *Proceedings of the 2015 ASEE Annual Conference*. https://doi.org/10.18260/p. 24841
- Lee, B. (2017). TELL us ESP in a flipped classroom. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education,* 13(8), 4995-5007. https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00978a
- Legenhausen, L. (2005). Lernen durch Lehren (LdL) [learning through teaching] in theory and practice. http://www.ldl.de/Material/f\_/ldlintheoryandp ractice.pdf

- Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2017a). A critical review of flipped classroom challenges in K-12 education possible solutions and recommendations for future research. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(4), 1-22. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s41039-016-0044-2
- Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2017b). Using "first principles of instruction" to design secondary school mathematics flipped classroom: The findings of two exploratory studies. *Educational Technology & Society, 20*(1), 222-236.
- Lo, C. K., Hew, K. F., & Chen, G. (2017). Toward a set of design principles for mathematics flipped classrooms: A synthesis of research in mathematics education. *Educational Research Review*, 22, 50-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2017.08.002
- Marshall, W. (2015). Guest commentary: A "STEM" in Collier County to reach their future. *Naples Daily News*. https://archive.naplesnews.com/opinion/ perspectives/guest-commentary-a-stem-in-colliercounty-to-reach-their-future-2392f62e-9c19-2198e053-0100007f6ee5-341858231.html/
- Mazur, E. (1997). Peer instruction: A user's manual series in educational innovation. Prentice Hall. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.881735
- McGivney-Burelle, J., & Xue, F. (2013). Flipping calculus. *PRIMUS*, 23(5), 477-486. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10511970.2012.757571
- McLaughlin, J. E., White, P. J., Khanova, J., & Yuriev, E. (2016). Flipped classroom implementation: A case report of two higher education institutions in the United States and Australia. *Computers in the Schools*, 33(1), 24-37. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 07380569.2016.1137734
- McMillan, J., & Schumacher, S. (2010). Research in education: Evidence-based inquiry. Pearson.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded source book.* SAGE.
- Mutambara, D., & Bayaga, A. (2021). Determinants of mobile learning acceptance for STEM education in rural areas. *Computers & Education, 160,* 104010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104010
- Mzoughi, T. (2015). An investigation of student web activity in a "flipped" introductory physics class. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 191, 235-240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.04.558
- NAE & NRC. (2014). *STEM integration in K-12 education: Status, prospects, and an agenda for research.* National Academies Press.
- National Science Board. (2018). *Science and engineering indicators* 2012. National Science Foundation.
- Ng, S. P., & Fung, C. H. (2020). Tuīdòng STEM jiàoyù: Rúhé pínggū xuéxí chéngguð [Promotion of STEM

education: How to evaluate learning outcomes]. Hong Kong Teachers' Center Journal, XIX, 1-19.

- Pahl, M. O. (2019). Learning by teaching: Professional skills and new technologies for university education. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 57(11), 74-80. https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.001.1900 248
- Pfennig, A. (2016). Inverting the classroom in an introductory material science course. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 228, 32-38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.07.005
- Pizzolato, N., & Persano Adorno, D. (2020). Informal physics teaching for a better society: A MOOCbased and context-driven experience on learning radioactivity. *Journal of Physics. Conference Series*, *1512*(1), 12040. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596 /1512/1/012040
- Priyaadharshini, M., & Sundaram, B. V. (2018). Evaluation of higher-order thinking skills using learning style in an undergraduate engineering in flipped classroom. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 26(6), 2237-2254. https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.22035
- Ribosa, J., & Duran, D. (2022). Do students learn what they teach when generating teaching materials for others? A meta-analysis through the lens of learning by teaching. *Educational Research Review*, *37*, 100475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2022. 100475
- Sahin, A., Cavlazoglu, B., & Zeytuncu, Y. E. (2015). Flipping a college calculus course: A case study. *Educational Technology and Society*, *18*(3), 142-152.
- Sanders, M. (2009). STEM, STEM education, STEMmania. *The Technology Teacher*, 68(4), 20-26.
- Shernoff, D. J., Sinha, S., Bressler, D. M., & Ginsburg, L. (2017). Assessing teacher education and professional development needs for the implementation of integrated approaches to STEM education. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 4(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-017-006 8-1
- Shnai, I. (2017). Systematic review of challenges and gaps in flipped classroom implementation: Toward future model enhancement. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on e-Learning* (pp. 484-490). Academic Conferences International Limited.
- Shu, Y., & Huang, T. C. (2021). Identifying the potential roles of virtual reality and STEM in maker education. *The Journal of Educational Research*, *114*(2),108-118. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671. 2021.1887067
- Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, *15*, 4-14. https://doi.org/10.3102/ 0013189X015002004

- Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57, 1-22. https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.57. 1.j463w79r56455411
- Song, Y., & Kapur, M. (2017). How to flip the classroom-"productive failure or traditional flipped classroom" pedagogical design? *Educational Technology and Society*, 20(1), 292-305.
- Stollhans, S. (2016). Learning by teaching: Developing transferable skills. In E. Corradini, K. Borthwick, & A. Gallagher-Brett (Eds.), *Employability for languages: A handbook* (pp. 161-164). Research-publishing.net. https://doi.org/10.14705/rpnet. 2016.cbg2016.478
- Sujarwanto, E., Madlazim, & Sanjaya, I. G. M. (2021). A conceptual framework of STEM education based on the Indonesian curriculum. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series,* 1760, 012022. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1760/1/012022
- Sun, C. Y., & Wu, Y. T. (2016). Analysis of learning achievement and teacher-student interactions in flipped and conventional classrooms. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 17(1), 79-99. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v17i1 .2116
- Thomas, B., & Watters, J. (2015). Perspectives on Australian, Indian, and Malaysian approaches to STEM education. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 45, 42-53. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ijedudev.2015.08.002
- Torshizi, M. D., & Bahraman, M. (2019). I explain, therefore I learn: Improving students' assessment literacy and deep learning by teaching. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 61, 66-73. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.stueduc.2019.03.002
- van Alten, D. C. D., Phielix, C., Janssen, J., & Kester, L. (2019). Effects of flipping the classroom on learning outcomes and satisfaction: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 28, 100281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2019.05.003
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978a). Thought and word. In R. W. Rieber, & A. S. Carton (Eds.), The collected works of Vygotsky, L. S.: Volume 1 problems of general psychology including the volume thinking and speech (pp. 243-285). Plenum Press.

- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978b). *Mind in society: The development* of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
- Wagner, M., Gegenfurtner, A., & Urhahne, D. (2021). Effectiveness of the flipped classroom on student achievement in secondary education: A metaanalysis. Zeitschrift Für Pädagogische Psychologie [Journal of Educational Psychology], 35(1), 11-31. https://doi.org/10.1024/1010-0652/a000274
- Walsh, J. N., & Rísquez, A. (2020). Using cluster analysis to explore the engagement with a flipped classroom of native and non-native English-speaking management students. *International Journal of Management Education*, 18(2), 100381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2020.100381
- Warter-Perez, N., & Dong, J. (2012). Flipping the classroom: How to embed inquiry and design projects into a digital engineering lecture. In *Proceedings of the 2012 ASEE PSW Section Conference*. American Society for Engineering Education.
- Ye, X., Chang, Y. H., & Lai, C. L. (2019). An interactive problem-posing guiding approach to bridging and facilitating pre- and in-class learning for flipped classrooms. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 27(8), 1075-1092.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2018.1495651

- Yildiz Durak, H. (2018). Flipped learning readiness in teaching programming in middle schools: Modelling its relation to various variables. *Journal* of Computer Assisted Learning, 34(6), 939-959. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12302
- Yousefzadeh, M., & Salimi, A. (2015). The effect of flipped learning (revised learning) on Iranian students' learning outcomes. *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, 6(5), 209-213. https://doi.org/ 10.7575/aiac.alls.v.6n.5p.209
- Zengin, Y. (2017). Investigating the use of the Khan Academy and mathematics software with a flipped classroom approach in mathematics teaching. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 20*(2), 89-100.
- Zhou, X., Chen, L. H., & Chen, C. L. (2019). Collaborative learning by teaching: A pedagogy between learnercentered and learner-driven. *Sustainability*, 11(4), 1174. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11041174

### **APPENDIX** A

Date	Participant	Event
5 days before	All	1. Recruitment of the participants completed.
day 1		2. A 15-minute meetings was arranged.
5		3. Participants expressed that they were happy to engage in the study.
		4. Topics were assigned according to their preference.
Day 1	Student A & Student D	1. Students would like to get video earlier so that they could have more time for
		preparation.
		2. Videos were thus given one day before original plan.
Day 1	Student D	1. Student asked about the priority among the 21st century skills. Does innovation
		have the least importance? What is the definition of creativity? Is ability to extend &
		link content to other topics or daily life a kind of creativity?
Day 4	All	In-class teaching
		<ol> <li>1.12:24-In Q&amp;A session of student C's presentation, student A raised a question "what's the constant in the drift velocity equation?" Student C answered, "it is just a constant." Student A asked again "so, what constant is it and what's the magnitude?" With this unexpected question, student C did not answer directly &amp; tried to find answer from his notes. Finally, student C did not give an exact answer to question &amp; told audience students this is homework for you to figure out what this constant after this class is (communication skills).</li> <li>2.27:00-Student B used two approaches to derive the equation of kinetic energy (creativity). For demonstration of deriving KE equation, researcher &amp; student A pointed out a mistake of formula transformation. Student B paid close attention to this feedback (communication [active listening]) &amp; tried to find source of mistake by reassessing derivation process (problem-solving [resilience]). Finally, student B realized his misunderstanding of concepts, &amp; gave a response saying himself is not circumspect enough when solving questions (communication).</li> <li>3.0:14-To show the relationships between the force exerted cross-sectional area &amp; pressure with pressure formula learned, student A used two examples computing pressures: fixed cross-sectional with different force &amp; fixed force with different cross- sectional area. Calculations show that with the same area of 1 m, pressure is larger for a force of 200 N than a force of 100 N; with the same force of 100 N pressure is smaller for an area of 2 m<sup>2</sup> than an area of 1 m<sup>2</sup>. Using these results as reasons, she reached a conclusion that pressure is positive proportional to force exerted while it is negatively proportional to cross-sectional area (problem-solving/logical thinking [consistent reasoning]).</li> <li>4.35:30-After introducing equations of pressure, student A used equations to solve two sample questions. When solving questions, student A first identified problems. Specifically, how many &amp; w</li></ol>
		5. All students used PowerPoints to present. They highlighted key terminologies,
		uennitions, & equations by different font sizes & colours. Graphics & animations were
		used to serve visualization (computer skills) (understanding of STEW [understand
Dav 5	Δ 11	Focus aroun meeting
Day 5	All Student D	1 Student toxted recearcher & expressed that other participants may not be very
Day 5	Student D	familiar with STEM.
Day 7	Student A	1. Student texted researcher & expressed that some participants went off topics during
		meeting.
		2. Student believed that other participants may not be very familiar with the 21 <sup>st</sup>
		century skills such as problem-solving, creativity, etc.
Day 8	Student C	1. Student texted researcher to add supplementary information to clarify his point
5		made in focus meeting.

# **APPENDIX B**

#### **Questions for Focus Group to Discuss**

- 1. Do you think this learning approach helpful to your study of STEM?
  - A. Do you think watching video (pre-class) is helpful?
  - B. Do you think teaching (in-class) is helpful?
- 2. Why do you think this learning approach helpful to your study of STEM?
  - A. How does watching video (pre-class) help your learning?
  - B. How does teaching (in-class) help your learning?
- 3. Could this learning approach foster your problem-solving skills, creativity, communication skills, and computer literacy?
- 4. How could this learning approach foster your problem-solving skills, creativity, communication skills, and computer literacy? Can you give me some examples?
- 5. Have you encounter any difficulties during the pre-class video session? How do you solve the problem?
- 6. What are the pros and cons of this learning method compared to traditional direct lecture-based teaching?
- 7. What are the difficulties of using this approach?

# **APPENDIX C**

Table C1. Detail of the pre-class, in-class, and focus group meeting for each studer	Table	C1.	Detail	of the	pre-class,	in-class,	, and focus	group	meeting	for each	studen
--	-------	-----	--------	--------	------------	-----------	-------------	-------	---------	----------	--------

	Student A	Student B	Student C	Student D	Student E
Intervention (pre-class) (day 1)	~15-minute video	with class preparatio	n (a PowerPoint with	one sample question	for the audiences)
Intervention (in-class) (day 4)	Conducting an 8- minute lecture	Being as audience	Being as audience	Being as audience	Being as audience
	Being as audience	Conducting an 8- minute lecture	Being as audience	Being as audience	Being as audience
	Being as audience	Being as audience	Conducting an 8- minute lecture	Being as audience	Being as audience
	Being as audience	Being as audience	Being as audience	Conducting an 8- minute lecture	Being as audience
	Being as audience	Being as audience	Being as audience	Being as audience	Conducting an 8- minute lecture
After intervention (day 5)		~25	5-minute group interv	iew	

#### APPENDIX D: SCRIPT OF FOCUS GROUP (IN ENGLISH TRANSLATION)

Researcher (turned on the voice recorder): Ok, let us start.

Student B: In my opinion, I am wondering if the video can be replaced by paper-materials. I think it is also workable. If I must learn something for teaching others, I would like to have my teacher next to me ensuring my learning is on the right track and I am teaching it right. You know, as a student-teacher if I learnt it wrong, others would learn it wrong too. Especially, when the knowledge is new to me, and I am presenting it with high confidence.

Student A: I believe making mistakes is also a part of the learning process even though there is no teacher present. For example, when student C mentioned about the constant q in his lecture session yesterday, I asked about the meaning of q and thus we found that there is a piece of important concept is missing. If we do not point it out, that piece of knowledge will be kept missing and he will never know such concept is important. In other words, the rest of the students could be served as teachers monitoring his learning process. They could show him what to learn, what must have been learnt.

Student B: Okay, good idea (dead air for 10 seconds).

Researcher: Do you think this learning approach helpful to your study of STEM?

Student A & student E (at the same time): Yes, it is useful.

Student A: Okay, you are first.

Student E: I think watching the video is equivalent to the first engagement of the new knowledge. It is not enough for us to prepare our class and develop the teaching material by using the video solely. We have to search for additional information, and it forms the second engagement. Third engagement happened when we are teaching in class as the presentation is equivalent to a revision of the knowledge we have learnt in the previous two engagements. If questions were raised in the Q&A and a problem in the learning process is founded, like in our previous discussion, it served as an additional revision of the knowledge and thus the fourth engagement is formed. So, teaching something using this method allows us to engage with the knowledge for four times. The learning is deeper, and the memory is enhanced.

Student B: I am wondering if the teacher will go through those materials and content again (Dead air for five seconds).

Researcher: It depends. Do you think it is necessary to go through the content again?

Student D: I think the role of the teacher should be passive in STEM education. He could serve as a helper or mentor answering our problems when it is needed.

Student B: I suggest there is a session in which we could communicate with the teacher about our teachings. He could provide us some useful advice especially when we encounter some difficulties in class preparations.

Researcher: I see. Do you think this learning approach is useful in fostering your problem-solving skills, creativity, communication skills, computer literacy and learning interest?

All students (at the same time): Yes. It can.

Researcher: Can you give me some examples? Why? How?

Student A: I think it could have significant improvement in our problem-solving skills. Different from traditional method in which students learn by reading books or watching video, answering unexpected questions from others allow us to review the content we have learnt. During the Q&A, we have to think about how to answer or solve their problems. Our communication skills are improved as well.

Student B: In addition, we have to pay attention to the lesson structure. More importantly, one of the main problems in traditional lecture is that students think that they've already understood the content but in fact they are not. But if they could develop the teaching materials, such as organizing the points and creating the PPT, the blind-spot could be cleared.

Researcher: So, how about creativity? Can you give me some examples?

Student B: I think the organizing of the lesson is the main reason for the improvement of the creativity.

Student E: But in my point of view, the improvement of creativity is limited. I think the most significant improvement lies in our logical thinking. If a student have to teach others, he must list those pre-requisites knowledge, define the items, constructing the equations and demonstrate how to use those equations and knowledge to solve the problems. This is a good training in logical thinking.

Student A: I see creativity in a very different way. To me, using different method to solve the same problem is a kind of creativity. As students, we will focus only how to solve the problems; However, we will try to illustrate the same idea with different method if our role is shifted from student to teachers. For example, during the teaching session conducted by Student B, he demonstrated two different methods in solving a single question. This is what make the difference between student and teacher. Such difference would lead to a significant improvement in creativity.

Researcher: So, how about communication skills?

Student C: I think the enhancement in creativity is little. I think, in metaphor, if learning is consisting of deducing concepts by examples, identifying the input, model and output. The role of student-teacher is to use to model while traditional teacher is to develop the model. Although understanding is enhanced, a simple use of the model will not enhance creativity (supplemented and rephrased by student C two days after the discussion: student-teacher have to (i) extract the key information, (ii) translate them into language, and (iii) help students to understand the logics behind the knowledge. If the students encounter a difficulty, the student-teacher should try a new approach. And these skills could not be learnt from a traditional teacher).

Researcher: Could that understanding served as a foundation in learning STEM?

Student C: What?

Student A: Regarding to STEM, a discipline consists of science, technology, engineering and mathematics, understanding is very important. It is impossible to apply the knowledge of these subjects without understanding them in advance. That is what he said "foundation". It means the knowledge base. Once you learn a method to solve the problem, you would start trying another method to solve it. As a result, creativity increase. On the other hand, I think this teaching method could also improve our communication skills as well. For example, I raised a question during the teaching session conducted by student C. If a student-teacher do not know the answer, it is very embarrassing. Those student-teacher with high EQ may, I mean those with good communication skills, will act similarly as student C did, say "that's a good question and especially good as being the homework for today"; however, those student-teacher with low EQ and inadequate communication skills may just stun and freeze at that moment. The process of teaching is indeed a process of communication. That is why I think it could enhance communication skills.

Researcher: Oh, I do not see student D here. Let us check if she is still online.

Student D: I am here.

Researcher: Do you have any idea to share?

Student D: I think a good knowledge foundation would allow us to draw inferences about other cases from one instance. In the meantime, it allows us to discover, elaborate, link and apply them to our daily life. I think these are all creativity.

Researcher: Do you think this method could enhance creativity?

Student D: Yes. For example, the topic I taught about yesterday is air resistance. Though the teaching, I see that air resistance is connected with many different aspects in our daily life and its impact is manifest. And I will start to study and try to develop some solutions to reduce air resistance in my daily life. Therefore, I think it could enhance creativity.

Researcher: So, you mean when you develop the materials and teach in the class, you could link the content with your daily life?

Student D: Yes.

Researcher: Ok.

Student D: Next, I think it could enhance communication skills for certain because the whole teaching is (similar to) a discussion. My point is similar to student A, and I totally agree with her.

Student B: In addition, to teach others the abstract ideas requires communications skills (Dead air for three seconds).

Researcher: I see. Do you think this learning approach is useful in enhancing your learning interest?

Student E & student D: Yes.

Student D: Due to the active learning, the satisfaction gain in learning is much greater than passive learning. Thus, the learning interest is enhanced.

Student E: Making other understand contribute to our satisfaction too.

Student B: Since we could choose our own topic, the learning interest is boosted.

Researcher: Have you encounter any difficulty?

Student C: Yes. This learning approach is very time consuming. It is not bad but ...

Researcher: Can I clarify whether you are talking about the teacher's view or the students' view?

Student C: The one who is responsible to teach in the class will spend more time.

Student D: Despite the more time is spent, the elements they are spent are parts of learning process.

Student A: Agree.

Researcher: I still do not understand who you are talking about. Can you please clarify it in detail?

Student C: The student-teacher. To me, listening to the teacher in direct teaching, is already enough. I cannot see why I have to come out to teach.

Student A: The quality is the point.

Student C: But for students who are with low learning incentive and low motivation like me, I think this approach is wasting my time.

Student B: The compatibility is not good. This approach may not be suitable to all types of students.

Student C: I think this approach is wasting my time as my target is to get a "60" only. That is the challenge which STEM may faces as STEM is for elite students.

Student A: My point is that you may not be able to understand the content well enough to get a "60" if you come across it once in traditional direct lecture. In traditional teaching model, you have to finish the homework after the lesson, check the answers and do some revisions. However, these steps are already embedded in learning by teaching. As student E said before, it allows us to engage with the content knowledge for four times. Do I still need time for completing homework, checking answers or doing revisions in learning by teaching? Therefore, whether learning by teaching is more time-consuming is not yet conclusive. That is why I disagree with you.

Student B: However, there may be a possibility that it is biased as we are all volunteers. For example, for those students with lower ability in English, they may need more time to prepare the lesson. I do not know, maybe it could be a factor we should concern with.

Student A: I guess language is not the focus of this study. As you can see, we can use our mother language during the teaching in this study. In real practice, students could also apply their mother languages in their teaching. Language is not a problem. We should focus on the outcomes.

Student B: That is! The efficacy is affected by the language problem.

Student A: No, such barrier is eliminated if mother language is used!

Student B: Yeah, so it depends on whether mother language is used or not.

Student A: Yup.

Student B: Okay. Language may be one of the factors, there may exists some other factors...

Researcher: I see. In student B's view, language is a difficulty in using the learning by teaching.

Student B: Let us put it in this way. Each of us may have our own difficulty.

Researcher: I see.

Student D: I think the object you are talking about is the less able students. I think the downside of what you are talking about is that some less able students are more struggling in their studies.

Student B: Yes.

Student D: It is because these students have shortcomings in other aspects.

Researcher (talk to student D): Did you encounter any difficulties in the learning process?

Student D: Because this subject is not my major, I am not very familiar some basic formulas which I have to teach in class. As a result, I have to spend additional time to search for relevant information on the Internet.

Researcher: Had the problem been resolved in the end?

Student D: Yes, it is solved. However, for those relatively less able students, I think they may need to spend more time studying than others. In comparison, I mean to those relatively less able students, it may be more effective to help them to solve the problems directly.

Researcher: Student E, how about you? Did you encounter any difficulties in the learning process?

Student E: My problem is ...

Student B (interrupted): I want to add a point. It may also consider the potential pressure which may be produced to the students. In traditional teaching method, I may learn something simply by using pen and paper. But for STEM, computer may be needed although their family conditions are different. Pressure may be produced if they do not have a computer and the school is unable to provide it.

Researcher: I see.

Student D: For some students who seldom use computer, or for students with relatively weak computer knowledge, pressure may be produced when computer is used.

Researcher: Could it enhance computer skills?

Student D: Yes. During the preparation process, software, such as PPT, will be used. It allows us to practice our computer skills.

Researcher: Student A, did you encounter any difficulties in the learning process?

Student A: I did not encounter any difficulty. But I can come up with a problem that students may encounter. When we are watching the video, we will follow the ideas shown in the video to solve the problem. But if I do not understand when watching the assigned video at home, I cannot get an immediate response. You know, in the traditional teaching method, I can ask the teacher on the spot during class. "Teacher, how should I do this, why should I do this." The teacher can answer students' questions immediately. When video is used, you may need to pause or ask the teacher after the watching. The feedback you receive will have a certain degree

of delay. Another point is that there is no such thing as an "instant response" for students. I think it is a relatively big problem.

Student D: But I think this is not necessarily a disadvantage. If you rely too much on the teacher, the intention and objective of the STEM is lost.

Student A: It does not mean being overly dependent on the teacher. When a student is listening to the teacher or watching a video, his mind follows the logics and the flow of the lecture or video. He thinks and he will find the problem. He asks the teacher if he does not understand it. This is not over-reliance. In fact, the teacher's assistance is still needed throughout the teaching.

Researcher: Can you come up with some solutions that can solve these problems?

Student D: About the feedback, I think one of the disadvantage of this teaching method is that there is no test, exam of evaluation to check whether we have really mastered the knowledge after all. If the teacher can assign some test papers and give us a feedback, then it would check and evaluate whether we have really mastered this content knowledge.

Researcher: I see. As a single lesson, this part is not included this time.

Student B: I think the coverage of topics is relatively large as they include mechanics, electricity, etc. I suggest maybe you can achieve the student teaching by using projects and each student is responsible to one part of the project. For example, some for mechanics and some for electricity. In the end, all of their works are integrated. I think it is better. Also, the topics chose could be related to each other. Thus, I can ask other students if I encounter problems.

Researcher: You means the topics should be relevant to each other.

Student B: Yes.

Researcher: Okay. Any else?

Student D: I think ... we have already watched the video at home, so if we go back to school, it would be better to arrange a discussion among the students with teachers monitoring. Tutoring or assistance could then be given if we encountered great difficulties.

Researcher: Do you mean after the students' teaching sessions?

Student D: Yes. A discussion after the teaching sessions.

Researcher: I see. This is also a good suggestion.

Student B: I think a fixed period could be arranged for students to prepare the lecture content, individually or in group. It could be similar to self-study. And the teacher can offer help to students who are in need.

Researcher: I see. This is a good suggestion too. So, is there anything you would like to add?

Student E: So far so good.

Researcher: It is also okay if you want to go back to the previous topic and add further comments.

Student B: About the preparation period I mentioned before, I think it could be conducted in a computer room. Therefore, some problems, such as the hardware problems and financial problems, could be solved as well. Immediate assistance could be provided to students who encounter difficulties. It also improves students' concentration so that they could be more focus in the preparation.

Researcher: Student A, do you want to make a comment?

Student A: I agree with student B that the school could arrange a period for watching video with hardware provided. Immediate assistance could be provided in the next session. It could be done by a modification of the course arrangement.

#### Fung et al. / Fostering student teachers' 21st century skills

Student C: I got two questions. First, the output of the model by the student is incomplete. I mean if the student, like me, does not understand the content thoroughly, I do not know how to teach others. It is not possible to explain something to others if I do not understand it. Next, if my teaching is boring and dull while student A's teaching is well prepared with an attractive PowerPoint. Students may prefer to listen to her interesting lessons enthusiastically and do not want to listen to my lessons. Then everyone is unwilling to receive my model. And I believe that most of the classes prepared by students are not as attractive and capable as student A. So, I think this situation should be improved.

Researcher: Could it be an opportunity to learn?

Student C: Yes, probably. Some students have high motivation to learn from able students. If it were me, I would think about the reason why student A can learn so well. But if I was a less able student, I would not be reflective and I would not learn from an able student.

Researcher: So, do you mean this method favor able students?

Student C: Yes.

Student A: I agree too. I think this teaching method is an extreme. For less able students, they have low interest in the content knowledge and now they are required to spend more time to prepare the lecture. Just like what I mentioned before, if I do not understand it but still I have to teach, it makes me feel difficult. For the less able students, this burden is great.

Student E: And I think this learning method requires a high degree of self-discipline of students. If the self-discipline and self-awareness are not strong, his lesson preparation will be poor.

Student C: Because the output of the model is incomplete.

Student E: Yes.

Student D: I think such difference can be solved by using student's discussion I mentioned before. Able students can help and direct less able students. As a result, for able students, their knowledge can be consolidated. While for the less able students, their problems can be solved, and learning is facilitated.

Researcher: I see. Using group work may be better you mean.

Student C: I do not agree with it. According to recent research, the workload in a group is shared by 40% of the members only. In other words, considering a group of five people, the workload will be allocated to two while the rest of three will do nothing. This is problematic. The situation will be worse if group work is used.

Student A: And I think group work is applied, students prefer teaming up with people with similar level. Able students think that less able students are burden while less able students think that able students are strong and can finish all tasks themselves. This may happen among junior students.

Student B: And there is another situation, I do not know whether the output of students is a factor to consider. For example, when we were giving a lecture yesterday, I was very nervous. I only care if I can give a good lecture and I did not pay attention to listening others when they were giving a lecture. That is, I only care about my own stuffs, but not the others.

Researcher: I see.

Student B: For example, when doing presentations, I focus on the quality of my own presentation and do not listen to others' presentations carefully.

Student E: Sensible.

Researcher: I see it is already overrun. Let's see if any of you would like to add points or make clarifications.

All: No.

Researcher: I see. So that is the end of this focus meeting. Thank you.

# **APPENDIX E**

Table E1. Summary	of the focus	group meeting
-------------------	--------------	---------------

Category	Item	R (Fa)	0	Main content/idea	Reference
Which	Prefer	.50 (2)	А	-Teacher can ensure learning is on the right track & student is teaching it	B L5-7
teaching	traditional			right.	
method	method		D	-Making mistakes is also a part of the learning process even though there is	A L10-16
do				no teacher present. In other words, students could be served as teachers	
student				monitoring his learning process.	
prefer				For example, "when student C mentioned about constant q in his lecture	
				session yesterday, I asked about the meaning of q and thus we found that	
				there is a piece of important concept is missing. If we do not point it out,	
				that piece of knowledge will be kept missing and he will never know such	
				concept is important."	
Flipped	Flipped	1.0 (4)	А	-It allows students to engage in the knowledge for four times (during	A L21 & E
learning	learning by			video, material preparation, teaching, and Q&A section). The learning is	L21, L23-32,
by	teaching			deeper, and the memory is enhanced.	
teaching	approach is			-One of the main problems in traditional lecture is that students think that	B L52-56,
approach	helpful to			they've already understood the content but in fact they are not. But if they	
is helpful	study of STEM,			could develop the teaching materials, such as organizing the points and	
to STEM	understanding			creating the PPT, the blind-spot could be cleared.	
education	increase			-Understanding is enhanced	C L76
(fostering	Flipped	1.0 (1)	А	-Answering unexpected questions from others allow us to review content	A L49-57
21 <sup>st</sup>	learning by			we have learnt. During Q&A, we have to think about how to answer or	
century	teaching			solve their problems.	
skills)	approach is				
	useful in				
	fostering				
	problem-				
	solving skills				
	Flipped	1.0 (3)	А	-During the Q&A, we have to think about how to answer or solve their	A L50-51
	learning by			problems. Our communication skills are improved as well.	
	teaching			For example, I raised a question during the teaching session conducted by	A L90-97
	approach is			student C. If a student-teacher do not know the answer, it is very	
	useful in			embarrassing. Those student-teacher with high EQ may, I mean those with	
	tostering			good communication skills, will act similarly as student C did, say "That's	
	communication			a good question and especially good as being the Homework for today.";	
	Skills			however, those student-teacher with low EQ and inadequate	
				communication skills may just stun and freeze at that moment. The process	
				of teaching is indeed a process of communication.	DIALA
				-Communication skills is enhanced for certain because the whole teaching	D L114-115
				is (similar to) a discussion.	DI 117 110
	771. 1			-10 teach others the abstract ideas requires communications skills.	B L117-118
	Flipped	.67 (6)	А	-Organizing of lesson is main reason for improvement of creativity.	B L58-59
	learning by			As students, we will focus only how to solve the problems; However, we	A L66-72
	teaching			will try to inustrate the same idea with different method if our role is	
	approach is			sinited from student to teachers. For example, during the teaching session	
	fostoring			a single question. This is what make difference between student to teacher	
	rostering			a single question. This is what make difference between student & teacher.	
	creativity			Becauting to STEM understanding is your important. It is impossible to	A T 94 90
				-Regarding to STEW, understanding is very important. It is impossible to	A L04-09
				If the students encounter a difficulty, the student-teacher should try a new	C I 80-81
				approach And these skills could not be learnt from a traditional teacher	C 100-01
				-A good knowledge foundation would allow us to draw inferences about	DI 100-
				other cases from one instance. In the meantime, it allows us to discover	103 I 105
				elaborate, link & apply them to our daily life. I think these are all	100, 1100
				creativity.	D L106-108
				-I see that air resistance is connected with many different aspects in our daily	
				life and its impact is great. And I will start to study and try to develop some	
				solutions to reduce air resistance in my daily life	
	1 1 (				

Note. a Total number of agreements and disagreements; R: Reliability; F: Frequency; O: Opinion; A: Agree; & D: Disagree

Category	Item	R (Fa)	0	Main content/idea	Reference
Elipped	item	K (1 <sup>-a</sup> )	D	The improvement of creativity is limited	F I 60
learning			D	-A simple use of the model will not enhance creativity	C L76
hv	Flipped	10(2)	А	-If a student have to teach others, he must list those pre-requisites	E L60-64
teaching	learning by	1.0 (_)		knowledge, define the items, constructing the equations and demonstrate	1 100 01
approach	teaching			how to use those equations and knowledge to solve the problems. This is a	
is helpful	approach is			good training in logical thinking.	
to STEM	useful in			-Student-teacher have to (i) extract the key information, (ii) translate them	C L77-80
education	fostering			into language, and (iii) help students to understand the logics behind the	
(fostering	logical			knowledge.	
21 <sup>st</sup>	thinking				
century	Flipped	1.0 (3)	А	-Making other understand contribute to our satisfaction.	E L122 -124
skills)	learning by			-Due to the active learning, the satisfaction gain in learning is much greater	D L122
	teaching			than passive learning.	L125
	approach is			-Since we could choose our own topic, the learning interest is boosted.	B L126
	useful in				
	fostering				
	learning				
	Flipped	10(1)	Δ	During the propagation process software such as PPT will be used. It	D I 200-201
	learning by	1.0 (1)	11	allows us to practice our computer skills.	D L200-201
	teaching			and no as to predice our compared states	
	approach is				
	useful in				
	fostering				
	computer				
	skills				
Difficulty	Difficulty, very	.33 (3)	А	-Very time consuming. Listening to teacher in direct teaching, is already	C L128
in using	time		D	enough for students who are with low learning incentive & low motivation.	D I 100 100
flipped	consuming		D	-Despite the more time is spent, the elements they are spent are parts of	D L132-133
by				hearing process. In traditional teaching model, you have to finish the	L14/-155
teaching				However, these steps are already embedded in learning by teaching. As	A L134
approach				student E said before, it allows us to engage with the content knowledge for	
				four times. Do I still need time for completing homework, checking answers	
				or doing revisions in learning by teaching? Therefore, whether learning by	
				teaching is more time-consuming is not yet conclusive. Quality is the point.	
	This approach	1.0 (5)	А	-This approach may not be suitable to all types of students. If a student does	B L142
	may be more			not understand the content thoroughly, he does not know how to teach	L261-263
	suitable to able			others. It is not possible to explain something to others if I do not	
	students, with			understand it.	
	higher degree			-Some students have high motivation to learn from able students. If it were	C L270-273
	of discipline			me, I would think about the reason why student A can learn so well. But if I	
				was a less able student, I would not be reflective and I would not learn from	
				Some loss able students are more struggling in their studies because these	D I 172 176
				students have shortcomings in other aspects	D L172-170
				-In comparison. I mean to those relatively less able students, it may be more	D L185-186
				effective to help them to solve the problems directly.	2 1100 100
				-I think this teaching method is an extreme. For less able students, they	A L276-280
				have low interest in the content knowledge and now they are required to	
				spend more time to prepare the lecture. Just like what I mentioned before, if	Е
				I do not understand it but still I have to teach, it makes me feel difficult. For	
				the less able students, this burden is great.	
				-And I think this learning method requires a high degree of self-discipline	L281-283
				of students. If the self-discipline and self-awareness are not strong, his	
				lesson preparation will be poor.	<b>CI 0</b> 04
	1 1 (		1 1	-Because the output of the model is incomplete.	C L284

Table E1 (Continued). Summary of the focus group meeting

Note. aTotal number of agreements and disagreements; R: Reliability; F: Frequency; O: Opinion; A: Agree; & D: Disagree

		1(1)	0	Wall content/ faca	Reference
Difficulty in using flipped learning by teaching approach	Difficulties competition	1.0 (1)	A	-If my teaching is boring and dull while student A's teaching is well prepared with an attractive PowerPoint. Students may prefer to listen to her interesting lessons enthusiastically and do not want to listen to my lessons. Then everyone is unwilling to receive my model. And I believe that most of the classes prepared by students are not as attractive and capable as student A. So, I think this situation should be improved.	C L263-268
	Difficulty pressure due to hardware or financial	1.0 (2)	А	-In traditional teaching method, I may learn something simply by using pen and paper. But for STEM, computer may be needed although their family conditions are different. Pressure may be produced if they do not have a computer and the school is unable to provide it.	B L191-194
				-For some students who seldom use computer, or for students with relatively weak computer knowledge, pressure may be produced when computer is used.	D 196-198
	Difficulty without immediate response	.5 (2)	A	-When we are watching the video, we will follow the ideas shown in the video to solve the problem. But if I do not understand when watching the assigned video at home, I cannot get an immediate response. You know, in the traditional teaching method, I can ask the teacher on the spot during class. "Teacher, how should I do this, why should I do this." The teacher can answer students' questions immediately. When video is used, you may need to pause or ask the teacher after the watching. The feedback you receive will have a certain degree of delay. Another point is that there is no such thing as an "instant response" for students. I think it is a relatively big problem.	A L204-212
			D	teacher, the intention and objective of the STEM is lost.	D L213-214
	Difficulty no evaluation	1.0 (1)	Α	I think one of the disadvantages of this teaching method is that there is no test, exam of evaluation to check whether we have really mastered the knowledge after all. If the teacher can assign some test papers and give us a feedback, then it would check and evaluate whether we have really mastered this content knowledge.	D L221-225
Suggestions from	After-teaching evaluation	1.0 (1)	А	It would check and evaluate whether we have really mastered this content knowledge.	D L221-225
from students	Topics should be relevant	1.0 (1)	А	I think the coverage of topics is relatively large as they include mechanics, electricity etc. I suggest maybe you can achieve the student teaching by using projects and each student is responsible to one part of the project. For example, some for mechanics and some for electricity. In the end, all of their works are integrated. I think it is better. Also, the topics chose could be related to each other. Thus, I can ask other students if I encounter problems.	B L227-232
	Discussion could be added	1.0 (1)	А	-We have already watched the video at home, so if we go back to school, it would be better to arrange a discussion among the students with teachers monitoring. Tutoring or assistance could then be given if we encountered great difficulties.	D L236-239
				-Learning difference can be solved by using student's discussion I mentioned before. Able students can help & direct less able students. As a result, for able students, their knowledge can be consolidated. While for less able students, their problems can be solved, & learning is facilitated.	D L286-289
	Able student can help less able student in group work	.33 (3)	Α	-Learning difference can be solved by using student's discussion I mentioned before. Able students can help & direct less able students. As a result, for able students, their knowledge can be consolidated. While for less able students, their problems can be solved, & learning is facilitated.	D L286-289
			D	-I do not agree with it. According to recent research, the workload in a group is shared by 40% of the members only. In other words, considering a group of five people, the workload will be allocated to two while the rest of three will do nothing. This is problematic. The situation will be worse if group work is used.	C L290-294
Note aTotal •	umber of agreem	pents and	diea	-And I think group work is applied, students prefer teaming up with people with similar level. Able students think that less able students are burden while less able students think that able students are strong and can finish all tasks themselves. This may happen among junior students. greements: B: Beliability: E: Frequency: O: Opinion: A: Agree: & D: Disagree	A L295-298

Table E1 (Continued). Summary of the focus group meeting

25 / 26

Table E1 (Continued). Summary of the focus group meeting							
Category	Item	R (Fa)	0	Main content/idea	Reference		
Suggestions	Assistance	1.0 (3)	А	-I think a fixed period could be arranged for students to prepare the	B L243-245,		
from	should be			lecture content, individually or in group. It could be similar to self-study.	L251-255		
students	provided in			And the teacher can offer help to students who are in need. I think it			
	lecture			could be conducted in a computer room. Therefore, some problems, such			
	preparation			as the hardware problems and financial problems, could be solved as			
				well. Immediate assistance could be provided to students who encounter			
				difficulties. It also improves students' concentration so that they could be			
				more focus in the preparation.			
				-Immediate assistance could be provided in the next session.	A L258-259		
				-He could serve as a helper or mentor answering our problems when it is	D L38, S		
				needed. He could provide us some useful advice especially when we	L39		
				encounter some difficulties in class preparations.			
	The role of	1.0 (1)	А	-The role of teacher should be passive.	D L37		
	teacher should						
	be passive						

There is a comment of the rocus group mooth	Table E1	(Continued	). Summar	y of the focus	group meetin
---	----------	------------	-----------	----------------	--------------

Note. a Total number of agreements and disagreements; R: Reliability; F: Frequency; O: Opinion; A: Agree; & D: Disagree

https://www.ejmste.com